## Excerpted Depo. Tr. Francisco Colon

Q. Did you have an opportunity or occasion to observe Sergeant Mancini performing his job duties prior to June 2012?

- A. On occasion, yeah. When I was -- much prior to me becoming Department Inspector, maybe when I was in narcotics or maybe when I was in detectives. So I've had some interaction with the Sergeant, but not -- he never worked for me directly or -- and I can't say that we -- did I have a great deal of experience or a great deal of contact with the Sergeant working, I can't say that.
- Q. Were you able to formulate an opinion about Sergeant Mancini's abilities as a police officer prior to June 2012?
  - A. Not based on firsthand direct knowledge. It wouldn't be fair to say that that would be the case, no.
- Q. So would it be fair to say you had no opinion of Sergeant Mancini's capabilities as a police officer prior to June 2012?
  - MR. McHUGH: Objection as to form. You can answer. You can answer.
  - A. Did I have an opinion? Yeah. So your question is, is it fair to say I had an opinion,

## Major Francisco Colon - November 16, 2015

20 1 I think is what you said. 2 Right. Q. 3 No. I did have an opinion. 4 What was your opinion of him? 5 My opinion was that he was a negative police 6 officer. 7 So prior to that meeting in June of 2012, Q. you thought Sergeant Mancini was a negative 9 police officer? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Can you point to any evidence to support that? 12 It was based -- okay. So just prior to the 13 exam, I had had conversations with Sergeant 14 Grenada who indicated to me that the Sergeant was 15 less than responsive in terms of providing 16 Sergeant Grenada with certain documentation that 17 the Sergeant was looking for. So I had had a 18 conversation or maybe several conversations with 19 Sergeant Grenada, and that's what I recall. 20 this was just prior to the exam. Again, Sergeant 21 Granada indicated to me that the Sergeant was less than responsive in providing documents that 22 23 he needed. 24 What documents? Q. 25 Medical documents, I believe, is what they

care if you have a hundred reasons or one reason. I want to know all of them. Okay?

A. I'm going to make it easy for you. I don't have any specific instances. I can tell you this: That being a policeman for 29 years going on 30, you hear other bosses and supervisors communicating about other officers. And so most of the information that I have was based on communications from other officers.

For example, at that meeting there were, as I recall, there was a conversation, the specifics of which I cannot recall, but we went around the table and people who supervise him directly provided information that was easily interpreted as indicating that he was an officer who was a negative officers, not the best performer.

Again, directly I can't tell you that I've witnessed or -- I can't give you any direct knowledge. So my opinion was based on information that I was getting from other officers, supervisors -- officers who supervised him.

Q. I want to talk specifically about an opinion that you formed about Sergeant Mancini before that meeting, before you heard other police officers

## Major Francisco Colon - November 16, 2015

			43
1		A. Not that I'm aware of.	
2	Q.	Did you ever hear of any problems that Sergeant	
3		Mancini had when he was over in Homeland	
4		Security?	
5		A. Not that I'm aware of.	
6	Q.	Do you remember what you recommended for service	
7		points for Sergeant Mancini?	
8		A. Yes.	
9	Q.	What?	
10		A. A zero.	
11	Q.	Why did you recommend he get a zero?	
12		A. I think I've already answered that in the	
13		beginning of this.	
14	Q.	You did?	
15		A. Yeah.	
16	Q.	Okay. Can you answer it again? I must have	
17		missed it.	
18		A. Sure. I said that I formulated my opinion to	
19		recommend a zero based on conversations and	***
20		information that was provided to me by officers	
21		who supervised him, including officers who were	AND WATER
22		making recommendations about what points they	
23		were going to recommend for Sergeant Mancini, in	
24		addition to the information I had from Sergeant	
25		Grenada about his less than responsiveness to	

providing documents.

- Q. Is one of the reasons that you recommended

  Mancini get a zero for service points because he

  wasn't providing documentation to Sergeant

  Grenada regarding his injury?
  - A. I just told you, it was one of the things that I considered.
- Q. So it factored into your decision to recommend a zero?
  - A. That he's not being cooperative and he's a supervisor, he's a Sergeant, and I'm hearing complaints from Sergeant Grenada that he's less than responsive, absolutely. That's not the behavior you expect from a supervising officer.
- Q. Did you ever talk to Sergeant Mancini as to whether or not Sergeant Grenada's allegations were accurate?
  - A. No.
- Q. Why not?
  - A. I didn't -- I relied on Sergeant Grenada and relied on the fact that I believe he was being forthright and forthcoming in telling the truth.

    If you know Sergeant Grenada, there's no one -- he's an honest man, God-fearing man. Sergeant Grenada is not going to make something like that

## Major Francisco Colon - November 16, 2015

		63	
1		misconduct, yes.	
2	Q.	I'm going to represent to you that he received an	
3		award of service points of three. Are you aware	
4		of that?	
5		A. I can see that.	
6	Q.	And you represented he get a three; right?	
7		A. I did.	
8	Q.	And you recommended that he get a higher grade	
9		for service points than Sergeant Mancini; right?	
10		A. Clearly.	
11	Q.	Why?	***************************************
12		A. I don't know why. I just did.	
13	Q.	Would you agree with me that using excessive	
14		force is a more serious offense than being	
15		negative?	
16		A. Yes.	
17	Q.	And it's using excessive force is a more	
18		serious offense than not turning in your	
19		paperwork	
20		A. Yes.	
21	Q.	for an injury?	
22		A. Yes.	
23		MR. GAGLIARDI: This is Exhibit 3.	
24		(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 3 MARKED FOR ID)	
25	Q.	Okay, Major Colon, I've just handed you what's	

a patrolman, which is sort of how I remember it, and then at some point given a reprieve and allowed to go back to detectives where he originally came from. So before he got promoted to Sergeant, he was a detective in BCI, right, eventually gets demoted, and as I recall, he got demoted back to patrolman and then eventually allowed to go back to BCI, is how I recall it. I could be wrong. He could have gone from Sergeant to BCI, but I don't think so. As I recall it, he went from Sergeant to patrolman for a little while.

- Q. What did he do that resulted in his demotion?

  A. He was one of the Sergeants or may have been the Sergeant that responded to a high profile incident where excessive force was used by an officer who eventually was terminated, that led to a criminal investigation.
- Q. And you would concede that what Officer Renzi did that resulted in his demotion was worse than Sergeant Mancini's not turning in paperwork to Sergeant Grenada and worse than Sergeant Mancini being negative; right?
  - A. Yes.

MR. GAGLIARDI: Exhibit 4.